

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/410,737	10/01/1999	DELPHINE ANH DAO LE	169.1476	7371
5514 7	7590 03/10/2004		EXAMI	NER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO		LAROSE, COLIN M		
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
,			2623	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Advisory Action	09/410,737	LE ET AL.			
	, lavicery , leaen	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Colin M. LaRose	2623			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: 						
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· · · —				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
	Claim(s) allowed:					
	Claim(s) objected to:					
	Claim(s) rejected:					
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendments alter the scope of the claims and would require additional consideration.

Furthermore, regarding the amendment to claim 10 and the other claims having features similar to claim 10: specifying that the number of pixels to be considered is a number "smaller than a total number of pixels that border the regions" does not appear to overcome Ikonomakis, since the claim language does not preclude one from considering all the bordering pixels. It merely denotes that at least one border pixel is considered.

AMÈLIA M. ĂU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600